SIOUX FALLS

Greenway & Riverfront Master Plan

SUMMER 2004

Prepared for the City of Sioux Falls and Forward Sioux Falls Prepared by Design Studios West, Inc.



Acknowledgements

The City of Sioux Falls along with Forward Sioux Falls partnered to develop this Greenway and Riverfront Master Plan for the 26-mile project area. Two additional groups, a board of advisors and a technical committee, were assembled to help give community insight and technical direction to the plan.

The following community members teamed to form the Master Plan Board of Advisors:

Tom Everist, Chair Dave Munson Don Dunham, Jr. Evan Nolte Darrin Smith Dan Scott Marv Looby Steve Metli Mike Cooper Jill Steele Dave Rozenboom Steve Erpenbach Mike Crane

The following City staff and community members teamed to form the Master Plan Technical Review Committee:


| Kim McIntosh |
|----------------|
| Shannon Ausen |
| Dr. Bill Smith |
| Mike Roth |
| Dave Queal |
| Joe Bartman |
| |
| |

To learn more about the Master Plan, please contact Jeff Schmitt with the City of Sioux Falls at 605-367-8888.

Table of Contents

| Section One | Introduction | Page 1 |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Section Two | The Process | 3 |
| Section Three | Purpose of Plan | 10 |
| Section Four | Site Conditions | 11 |
| Section Five | Previous Studies | 22 |
| Section Six | First Public Open House | 23 |
| Section Seven | Urban Design Concepts | 26 |
| Section Eight | Design Concepts | 32 |
| Section Nine | Second Public Open House | 57 |
| Section Ten | Committee Review | 60 |
| Section Eleven | Projects, Priorities, and Partners | 61 |
| Section Twelve | Next Steps | 67 |
| Appendix A | Potential Uses and Activities | |

Appendix APotential Uses and ActivitiesAppendix BComments - First Public Open HouseAppendix CQuestionnaire - Second Public Open HouseAppendix DWater Quality and Quantity IssuesAppendix EMedia Coverage



Introduction

Introduction

The city of Sioux Falls is a truly wonderful and unique community encircled by the Big Sioux River that winds so gracefully across the state of South Dakota. Since man has inhabited the northern plains, the Falls have been a source of beauty, sustenance and wonderment. Each year, thousands of people visit this historic destination, making tourism a staple to the city's economy. The population of Sioux Falls, currently at nearly 138,000 strong, has continued a steady growth since its incorporation as a village in the late 1800s. Sioux Falls boasts a balance of traditional industry with a new influx of technical and financial jobs that are bringing more diversity to the community. In recent years the median age of citizens has dropped, triggering a rise in the need for more trails, parks, and other activities, such as cultural venues. With nearly 16 miles of existing trails and approximately 18 city parks, the Sioux Falls greenway is a model for recreational development within a metropolitan area. However, today trail users, through the downtown, would get a much different impression of the river corridor with views of large flood-walls, parking lots, and adjacent industrial uses that mar the natural beauty of this historic waterway.

In October 2003, Design Studios West, Inc. (DSW) was engaged, by the City of Sioux Falls and Forward Sioux Falls to prepare a greenway and riverfront master plan for the nearly 26-mile study area. The boundary for the study was determined to be within the Big Sioux River Corridor from 60th Street North to 57th Street south, up Skunk Creek to a point near Ellis Road and out to the Great Bear Recreation Park on the east. The primary focus would be on the nearly 20-mile loop of the corridor within the city boundary, with special attention to its relation to the downtown.




Introduction

During the course of the project, the design team made several trips to visit and investigate as much of the study area as possible. Initially, the City arranged a half-day tour via golf cart to get a glimpse of the greenway. Additional areas were visited by car and on foot to get a better feel for the entire study area. During these preliminary visits to Sioux Falls, the design team was able to obtain a variety of information pertinent to the proposed project area for review and study, including extensive GIS mapping. Upon conclusion of this fact-gathering and orientation portion of the scope of work, the design team worked with the City and Forward Sioux Falls to developed a strategic approach for the preparation of the master plan. As with other similar DSW projects, there was a strong sentiment to develop an action plan rather than just another study that would collect dust on a shelf. The result of *this* master plan would result in a list of potential projects and a strategy for their future development.

It was important to the design team to respect the findings of all previous plans and studies of the greenway. A sizable effort was made to sift through these previous plans for any information that would be pertinent to the current effort. Some elements of these existing plans are reflected in the current master plan concepts. The idea was to learn about current user needs, existing environmental concerns, and future community goals and ultimately to define a plan of action that will lead to implementation.

The following document is a summary of the steps taken by the design team working with City of Sioux Falls and Forward Sioux Falls along with the board of advisors and technical review committee to prepare a master plan for the Sioux Falls greenway and riverfront.






The Process 2

The Process


Upon our first visit to Sioux Falls, it was made abundantly clear that the public participation process would be a very important part of a successful greenway and riverfront master plan for the city. This is especially true when a number of past, valuable studies have been prepared. Over the years information can be misplaced and leadership can change, resulting in the loss of key concepts, connections, and relationships. Therefore, it's imperative to involve the community at large in the design process by using them as a resource. Getting residents who live, work, and play in Sioux Falls to participate and share their ideas and dreams is critical to the success of the plan.

Because of the size and complexity of the project, the design team strategized about how to successfully partition the study area into more manageable pieces. The final solution was to divide the nearly 26 miles of corridor into four contiguous zones. Generally, starting with Zone #1 in the downtown and with the other three zones following the greenway corridor, wrapping the city in a clockwise rotation. The boundaries of the zones were adjusted several times based on use, character, and physical barriers.





Zone #1 - defined as approximately 2.5 miles from North Falls Park down to 14th Street.




Zone Description

- Historic Park
- Wide River Cross Section
- Visually Open
- Urbanized Edges
- Corridor Encroached by Buildings
- Challenging Adjacent Uses





Zone #2 - defined as approximately 6 miles from 14th Street to the west edge of the Oxbow Natural Area.






Zone #3 - defined as approximately 7 miles from Oxbow Park up to the Big Sioux Diversion. Zone #3 would also include a reach of greenway corridor stretching another 4 miles up Skunk Creek to Ellis Road.





Zone #4 - defined as approximately 3.5 miles from Big Sioux Diversion to North Falls Park. Zone #4 would also include another 3-mile reach of river corridor extending out to Great Bear Recreation Park.





Zone Description

- Channelized River Edges
- Rural Character
- Challenging Adjacent Uses
- Elevation Change
- Visually Open

The Process 2

Even after its segmentation into four zones, developing a design program for an area of this size is challenging. By identifying current and future projects in the area such as the Nelson Park plan and North Falls Park plan, City staff can start to help paint a picture of the community's needs and desires. The general public can be of great assistance to develop specific ideas and places for improvements along the corridor. Using GIS base mapping and aerial photography provided by the City, the design team held a two-day public open house. During these sessions, the design team asked the general public to help define ideas and opportunities within the study area. A series of five display boards depicting 75 numbered, colorful images of potential uses and activities was prepared by the team to aid the citizens with idea development. Participants were asked to view the images boards, pick an image that they felt was exciting or appropriate for the project area, write the number of the image on an adhesive dot, and stick the dot on one of the maps in an area that they think is best for the use. Post-it notes and a questionnaire were also provided for additional comments and ideas. Around 450 citizens attended the two-day event and nearly 1,000 comments and ideas were collected. Of the comments collected, more than 60% fell within the boundary of Zone #1. The information gathered from these public sessions, along with insight from city and community leaders, helped inform and guide the development of a design program for Sioux Falls greenway and riverfront.




Before beginning the design process for the corridor, the team discussed how to address the four unique zones within the corridor, while insuring continuity along the entire 26 miles. A conscious effort was made to build on the existing assets such as the trail system, parks, and many unique natural areas within the corridor. Based on public input, an emphasis was placed on accentuating and

The Process 2

connecting Falls Park and the downtown to the greenway. As the design progressed, it became apparent that numerous plans and studies have been prepared which could have an impact on developing a riverfront in the downtown. These proposed plans included concepts for redevelopment of many of the current industrial areas adjacent to the downtown, both on the east and west banks of the river. The proposed uses within these plans included a mixture of office, residential, and retail, with one plan supporting an events center. It became apparent to the design team that in order to shape the riverfront in Zone #1, we had to have a better understanding of the urban design pattern of the downtown. There was agreement between the various partners in the project that the design team would take a conceptual look at the planning patterns and uses for the downtown, based on public input and the previous studies for the area. Conceptual design development would proceed in the downtown based on these urban design concepts. Design in the other three zones would proceed based on trying to link and connect the entire system while trying to enhance existing uses and amenities. The goal during the design process was to identify potential project areas and give them conceptual form. In the future, these areas will need to be paired with community partners to assure implementation. Assembling the various groups that will affect the future of the corridor and persuading them to embrace a common vision is imperative to the success of this action plan.

At the completion of the design phase, a second public open house was held to present conceptual design ideas encompassing much of the 26-mile corridor. The citizens who attended this open house were very positive and supportive toward the plan. During the day-long event, a couple of hundred people stopped by to provide insight into potential project areas and their preferences for concepts. A questionnaire was provided to help quantify, prioritize, and understand what concepts people felt would make the best projects. The results of the questionnaire were telling. There was overwhelming public support for the overall plan and many of the individual concepts. There was also a supportive response to and interest in concepts depicting improvements in the downtown or Zone #1. The citizens voiced an opinion that Zone #1 needs the most work, but has the most potential for future redevelopment.






Purpose of the Plan 3

Purpose of the Plan

Upon initial consideration, a plan of this nature is prepared to document community wants, needs, and aspirations. More often plans reflect the sentiment of a community's citizens at a particular point in time and weigh those views against the more lengthy span of a project's life. In the end, if one project is realized and becomes the impetus for the future resurgence of Sioux Falls, then the plan is a success. To propagate this success it is critical that citizens consider the Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront Master Plan to be reality. While many of the concepts presented in the plan require long-term implementation, there are a number of highly visible projects that can begin immediately. If the City of Sioux Falls proceeds with projects that improve, enhance, and protect the assets of the Big Sioux River, while still allowing people to utilize the greenway corridor, then it will have initiated a plan that will take on a life of its own, while serving generations to come.







Site Conditions

During several different months, the design team visited and toured the site. An initial tour, via golf cart, was arranged by the City that covered the area from Sertoma Park up to Falls Park. Subsequent trips provided opportunities to explore certain reaches by foot. During these outings, several hundred photographs were taken to be used during analysis, to document interesting features, and later to produce sketches depicting design concepts. Our first impression of the greenway was one of amazement. The trail and park improvements in Zone #2 are second to none. The mature trees, healthy riparian edge, and wonderfully manicured parks were a delight to experience. However, as we approached Zone #1 and the downtown, the reason for a master plan became very apparent. The stark floodwalls, parking lots, and adjacent industrial land uses are typical signs of many communities across the country which have turned their backs on their biggest asset, their rivers. These same signs in Sioux Falls paired with the obvious care taken, by the City, to develop the amenities in Zone #2 caused us to see a great opportunity. There are many assets within the corridor that are underutilized, but there are many more assets, such as trails, parks, and natural areas, to build on. The design team has every confidence that the many attributes found within the Sioux Falls greenway will be the foundation for a successful restoration and redevelopment plan.



Zone #1



Zone #3



Zone #2




Zone #4




<u>Zone #1</u>

This zone is a prime example of both the positive and negative aspects of the greenway. The natural rock formations and native plant materials near historic Falls Park are a wonderful example of the natural beauty of this region, while the wide cross section of the silt-filled river adjacent to 20' flood walls through the downtown are obvious signs of urbanization.






Zone #1 continued...






<u>Zone #2</u>

This zone has set a precedent for the entire greenway with its easily accessible trails, healthy riparian edge, and well-maintained parks. Preservation and enhancement of these assets will be very important. The more natural and narrow water course in this zone will allow for improvements such as canoeing and fishing areas.





Zone #2 continued...



Site Conditions 4

<u>Zone #3</u>

This is the largest zone, flanked by neighborhoods in the south, some commercial in the middle, and industrial use to the north. Although there are some areas where mature trees are near the waterway, for the most part the cross section of the river in Zone #3 is wide open and has an engineered character. The height of the levy creates a visual buffer to the river from adjacent uses. The water in the channel is shallow and in full sun, which is adverse to fish habitat.





Zone #3 continued...



Site Conditions

<u>Zone #4</u>

Much of this zone has an open, expansive character with an engineered river cross section. The trail in this zone is not complete. A roughly four-mile segment of trail through this zone is slated for construction in 2004. The Sioux Falls airport, to the south, borders a large segment of the trail through this zone insuring that no future development can occur outside the greenway corridor. The segment of the zone near Falls Park and out toward Great Bear Recreation Park have a pleasant natural quality.





Zone #4 continued...





Site Challenges

Areas to be addressed ...




Parking Structure Over Waterway



Buildings Encroaching on Water



Railroad Bridge Relocation



Flood Control Walls



Large Water Spillway



Wide Cross Section of Levy



Site Opportunities

Areas to build on ...



Tourist Destinations



Water Features and Fish Habitat



Natural Areas with Mature Vegetation




Urban Parks



Paved Trails



Neighborhood Parks




Previous Studies 5

Previous Studies

During the course of the project, the design team was able to obtain access to the previous reports and studies listed below. Several other conceptual plans including studies for Fawick Park, Nelson Park, North Falls Park, Spencer Park and the YMCA Camp were made available as reference during the development of the master plan.



- 2015 Growth Management Plan
- 2015 Downtown Plan
- Sioux Falls Waterfront Plan '69
- Sioux Falls Greenway Plan '75
- Big Sioux Greenway Plan '87
- City of Sioux Falls GIS Data 2003
- Downtown Property Ownership Mapping
- Sioux Falls Bike Route Map
- Big Sioux Canoe Trail Map
- River Recreation Enhancement Plan



First Public Open House

First Public Open House

An initial public open house was held on November 6 and 7, 2003, to gather ideas from the general public. These sessions were held at two different locations: the first day at the Western Mall in the southern part of the city, and the second day at the Washington Pavilion in the downtown. Each open house forum was open from 7a.m. to 7p.m. to allow for the maximum number of people to attend. During this time, more than 450 people attended the sessions to share hopes and ideas regarding the project. Citizens were asked to help identify special areas for potential projects along the corridor and give thoughts about their future use. The result of this effort would culminate in a design program for the Sioux Falls greenway that would guide the design process.



The Western Mall Open House



The Washington Pavilion Open House





First Public Open House

"Top Ten List" of Uses by Zone

Zone #1

- 1. Riverside Dining
- 2. Pedestrian River Crossing
- 3. Amphitheater
- 4. Downtown Riverwalk
- 5. Integrated Sculpture
- 6. Attractive Bridge Treatment
- 7. Outdoor Market
- 8. Bike Trails
- 9. Entertainment Arena
- 10. Urban River Edge

Zone #2

- 1. Natural Park with Shelter
- 2. Bike Trails
- 3. Boating Access
- 4. Wetland Area with Trail
- 5. Native Gardens
- 6. Kayak Course
- 7. Enhanced River Areas
- 8. Integrated Sculpture
- 9. Special Lighting
- 10. Enhanced Fish Habitat

Zone #3

- 1. Pedestrian River Crossing
- 2. Natural Park with Shelter
- 3. Bike Trails
- 4. White-Water Areas
- 5. Boating Access
- 6. Interpretive Signage
- 7. Fish Pond Area
- 8. Native Gardens
- 9. Kayak Course
- 10. Enhanced River Areas

Zone #4

- 1. Bike Trails
- 2. Safe Access to Water
- 3. Native Gardens
- 4. Constructed Wetland
- 5. Wetland Area with Trail
- 6. Natural Park with Shelter 7. Enhanced River Areas
- 8. Enhanced Fish Habitat
- 9. Fishing Areas
- 10. Outdoor Classroom

POTENTIAL USES & ACTIVITIES

Master Plan

SIOUX FALLS

A series of five display boards with a total of 75 images that define different "uses" were used during the open house to stimulate ideas from participants. Each individual image was numbered for reference and small white adhesive dots were provided for people to select an image they preferred, write the corresponding number on a dot, and place the dot in any location they deemed appropriate within the project zones. This information, along with numerous comments written on Post-it notes, helped inform and guide the development of a design program for the Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront Master Plan.



First Public Open House 6

Comments from the first public open house yielded a diverse range of ideas and suggestions from locating a bench at a "favorite spot" along the greenway to suggesting an events center in the downtown. While tabulating the hundreds of comments, inputs, and questionnaire responses, one theme became apparently clear. Creating a downtown riverfront area that will attract and entertain people is at the top of the list. Issues with respect to completing and linking the rest of the greenway were also revealed, however to a much lesser degree. More than 60% of the comments received were with regard to Zone #1 and the downtown riverfront. The conclusion from this response was that the general public sees the existing greenway and trail system as a major asset to the city that should be completed. Many feel this can happen by extending the success currently found in Zone #2 to the other three zones while creating a destination in the downtown. Complete results of the questionnaire and comments can be found in Appendixes A and B.

Questionnaire Response



- 86% of people surveyed say they use the greenway bike path.
- 94% of people surveyed would like to see a riverwalk that connects Falls Park to the downtown.
- Mixed use, retail, and entertainment venues were the most common theme for the downtown area.
- Completing the trail loop was the most common comment by all surveyors.

Quotes

"Create a terraced riverfront and amphitheater."

"Create retail & entertainment district to help downtown business draw patrons on weeknights & weekends."

"More people living, working and shopping downtown."

"Complete the trail loop and provide mile markers, benches and restroom facilities."

Urban Design Plans

7

Urban Design Plans

Urban Design Plans

As the design team sifted through the results of the first public open house it became clear that Zone #1 and the downtown would be a key piece to the success of the overall master plan. It also became apparent that the current uses in and around the downtown will most likely change during the next 5-10 years. At the onset of the project, the design team was exposed to several reports and studies previously prepared by both public and private entities that delved into the potential future planning of the downtown. Before jumping directly into the design process for the greenway and riverfront, it was determined and agreed to, by the City and Forward Sioux Falls, that the design team would develop some urban design plans. These plans would develop and explore some of the favored ideas from previous plans and attempt to give some shape to the downtown before embarking upon a riverfront design. Several alternative plans were developed before finally landing on the two plans represented in this document. In each plan the resulting effort is an attempt to center the riverfront development thus making it the focus of the downtown area. The design team determined that the best way to accomplish this would be by giving balance to both sides of the river in the downtown. Knowing that the core business district of Sioux Falls is located just to the west of the river corridor, the design team developed alternatives that would emphasize a destination development on the east side of the river. One concept proposes a 12,000-seat events center located on the east bank, while the second concept portrays a residential development in its place. The urban design concepts represent rough site tests for the area that were used to help define a potential riverfront for the Sioux Falls downtown.

Concept A









Concept A

This concept represents a vision for a strong riverfront area and more balanced downtown where you can live, work, and play. The continuous pathway connections on both sides of the river from Falls Park to the Beadle Greenway and the proposed recreation center make for a lively experience at the center of the city. The addition of a 12,000-seat events center as a destination and economic engine on the east bank would attract people and provide synergy to the downtown.




Concept A

Concept A also includes roughly 1,100 units of residential, 400,000 sq. ft. of office, and 400,000 sq. ft. of retail. Also shown in the concept are approximately 9,000 new parking spaces. The City assisted the design team by providing a preliminary assessment of parking and traffic circulation for the plan and found it to be adequate. Locating an events center was not originally a part of the design team's scope, however based on the direction of the community and the advisory committees, an attraction of this nature could be a critical tile in the mosaic of the entire greenway.




Concept B

This concept also represents a vision for a strong riverfront area and more balanced downtown. However, this concept emphasizes living in the downtown by providing a variety of residential housing types in place of an events center. Similar to Concept A, the continuous pathway connections on both sides of the river from Falls Park to the proposed recreation center make for a fantastic recreation experience at the center of the city.



Concept B

Concept B includes a little more than 1,800 units of residential, 500,000 sq. ft. of office and 400,000 sq. ft. of retail. Also shown in the concept are approximately 8,000 new parking spaces. The concept does not host an events center or other large economic engine and therefore would depend more on improvements along the river corridor to attract people. The riverfront concepts in this plan will work with either option. A facilities planning committee will ultimately study the location of an events center and recreation center for the city of Sioux Falls. Concept A and B were prepared purely to give life to the downtown riverfront portion of the greenway master plan.



| SIOUX FALLS – DO May-04 | JWNTOWN REDEVELOPMENT | VELOPMENT | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Concept A | | | , | - | |
| | Phillips Avenue | North of 8 th Street | South of 8 th Street | Total Development | |
| Residential | 444 DU | 460 DU | 234 DU | 1138 DU | |
| | | | | | |

| _ | Phillips Avenue | North of 8 th Street | South of 8 th Street | Total Development | Required Spaces * |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Residential | 444 DU | 460 DU | 234 DU | 1138 DU | 2,276 |
| Hotel | | | 280 Rooms | 280 Rooms | 280 |
| Retail | 134,200 SF | 131,600 SF | 130,000 SF | 395,800 SF | 1,979 |
| Office | 43,200 SF | 120,000 SF | 251,000 SF | 414,300 SF | 1,243 |
| Events Center (12,000 seats) | | 154,000 SF | | 154,000 SF | 3,000 |
| | | | | Total Spaces Required = | 8,778 |

9,037 spaces 259 spaces

Total Spaces Provided = Parking Surplus =

3,420 spaces

3,904 spaces

1,713 spaces

Parking Provided by Plan

| B |
|---|
| ÷ |
| 2 |
| ē |
| õ |
| Ē |
| 0 |
| Ũ |
| |

| | Phillips Avenue | North of 8 th Street | South of 8 th Street | Total Development | Required Spaces* |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Residential | 444 DU | 1152 DU | 234 DU | 1,830 DU | 3,660 |
| Hotel | | | 280 Rooms | 280 Rooms | 280 |
| Retail | 134,200 SF | 135,100 SF | 130,000 SF | 399,300 SF | 1,997 |
| Office | 43,200 SF | 189,300 SF | 251,100 SF | 483,600 SF | 1,451 |
| | | | | Total Spaces Required = | 7,388 spaces |

8,082 spaces 694 spaces

Total Spaces Provided = Parking Surplus =

3,420 spaces

2,949 spaces

1,713 spaces

Parking Provided by Plan

| * Standard Parking Ratios Residential Hotel Retail Office | Events Center |
|---|---------------|

Design Concepts

SIOUX FALLS Greenway & Riverfront Master Plan Summer 2004


Design Concepts 8

Design Concepts


After gaining an understanding of community desires and giving form to the future of the downtown, the design team was finally prepared to develop ideas for the greenway and riverfront. Based on this information the design team produced a series of presentation boards that portrayed conceptual design alternatives for each project zone within the study area. The alternatives depicted included before and after sketches of some key areas as well as some detailed design ideas applicable to the entire 26-mile project area. The purpose of preparing this presentation of design concepts was to spark the imagination of the community while soliciting feedback during a second public workshop.







PROJECT ZONES



BIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

TOP TEN USES BY ZONE





Riverside Dining



Amphitheater



Integrated Sculpture



Outdoor Market



Entertainment Arena



Pedestrian River Crossing



Downtown Riverwalk



Attractive Bridge Treatment



Bike Trails



Urban River Edge





Natural Park With Shelter



Boating Access



Native Gardens



Enhanced River Areas



Special Lighting



Bike Trails



Wetland Area With Trail



Kayak Course



Integrated Sculpture



Enhanced Fish Habitat



Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

TOP TEN USES BY ZONE





Pedestrian River Crossing



Bike Trails



Boating Access



Fish Pond



Kayak Course



Natural Park With Shelter



White Water Areas



Interpretive Signage



Native Gardens



Enhanced River Areas





Bike Trails



Native Gardens



Wetland Area With Trail



Enhanced River Areas



Fishing Area



Safe Access To Water



Constructed Wetland



Natural Park With Shelter



Enhanced Fish Habitat



Outdoor Classroom

BIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Comments & Quotes











"Complete the trail loop and provide mile markers, benches and restroom facilities."

"Create retail & entertainment district to help downtown business draw patrons on weeknights & weekends."

"More people living, working and shopping downtown."

"Create a terraced riverfront and amphitheater."

"Create cross-country skiing trails."

Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

QUESTIONAIRE RESPONSE



How about a Sioux Falls Marathon? 86% of people surveyed say they use the greenway bike path.

The Top 5 parks used by people are Tuthill, Sertoma, Falls Park, Yankton Trail and Tomar.


94% of people surveyed would like to see a riverwalk that connects Falls Park to the Downtown.

Completing the trail loop was the most common comment by all surveyors.

Mixed Use, Retail and Entertainment venues were the most common theme for the Downtown area.

Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan


Zone #1-Downtown Riverfront




BIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

RIVER SECTIONS

Existing Section Between 6TH Street And Falls Park



Existing Section Between 8TH And 10TH Streets



Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #1-Before & After

Pedestrian Crossing and Riverfront Improvement



Before

After



Before



510UX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #1-Before & After

Riverwalk Between 8TH and 10TH Streets



Before



After

Amphitheater at Fawick Park




Before



510UX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

ZONE #2-THE PARKS BOATING AREA



Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #2-Before & After

Station Point/Overlook to River



Before



Kayak Course/Habitat Improvement




Before



Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #3-Westside Riverfront



SIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004

Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #3-Before & After

Station Point Along Trail Near Zoo



Before



After

Interpretive Art/Science/Nature Trail




Before




DIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

ZONE #4-THE CONFLUENCE



Zone #4-Outdoor Education Area



DIOUX FALLS// Spring 2004Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

Zone #4-Before & After

Enhanced Landscape



Before



After

Completed Loop Trail




Before




After


Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

STATION POINTS




SIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan





Stations with Improvements



Stations with Restrooms

STATION POINT CONCEPTS





Station Point With Mile Marker




Station Point With Interpretive Signage


Station Point With Mile Marker

Station Points/Overlooks providing information such as trail, mileage, current location, environmental or historical facts will be located approximately every half mile on the entire 20 mile loop.





Station Structure Made Of Wood And Steel




Directional Information Signage


BIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan

STATION POINT CONCEPTS


Station Points In The Downtown



a downtown.



Elevation Of Downtown Station Point




A Variety Of Elements Including, Obelisks, Shade Structures And Informational Kiosks May Be Located Throughout Downtown

SIOUX FALLS // Spring 2004 Greenway & Riverfront Masterplan




Station Points

Primary Station Points – A covered rest stop with seating located every mile starting from Station "0" in Falls Park. Primary station points will have a variety of information and will be considered emergency contact points along the greenway system.




Secondary Station Points – An orientation station point with seating and mileage marker information only. These markers will be located at every half-mile point around the loop trail.






Station Points

Outer Station Points – A secondary station point outside of the main Sioux Falls greenway loop. These station points will help to link outer neighborhoods and other communities to the greenway. These markers will be primarily along Skunk Creek and out to Great Bear Recreation Park.



Downtown Station Points – A smaller and more urban station point with information, seating, and shading located every 300-500 feet on both sides of the river in the downtown.






Kit of Parts

The "kit of parts" or detailed design elements will be the glue that holds the entire greenway and riverfront development together. Signage, lighting, trash receptacles, bike racks accompanying the "rest-stop" station points, previously mentioned, will give people a sense of the greenway as a "place." These elements, although sometimes overlooked, imply continuity, scale and measurement to the corridor that is very important to the success of the greenway and riverfront. The following are some of the parts and pieces that could be implemented along the Big Sioux River corridor.



Interpretive Signage



Kit of Parts



DESIGN PRECEDENTS



Accessible Plazas



Art Bench



Art Wall



ing At River Edge

Green Areas



Edges That Step Down To River



Amphitheater





Events Plaza





Gateway To River



Trail




Interpretive Signage



Plaza At River Edge





SIOUX FALLS Greenway & Riverfront Master Plan Summer 2004

Second Public Open House

Second Public Open House

A second public open house was held on February 24, 2004 at the Washington Pavilion. Once again, the open house forum was held from 7 a.m. to 7p.m. to allow for the maximum number of people to attend. Approximately 250 citizens stopped by to view the design concepts and plans that had been developed based on public comment from the first round of open houses. The session was advertised via radio, television, and newspaper, asking participants to come and make comments and share additional thoughts and ideas. A questionnaire was provided to record public sentiment toward the plan.



Second Public Open House

Second Public Open House

The questionnaire was competed by nearly half of the 250 attendees. A resounding majority of the comments regarding the plan were favorable. The underlying sentiment from the participants was that "it can't happen fast enough."

The intent of the questionnaire was to assist current and future leaders with prioritization of funding and phasing of the potential improvements. The questions began with general opinions regarding the concepts shown within the four zones; then worked toward prioritizing the concepts.

Complete results of the questionnaire and comments can be found in Appendix C.

93% "Liked" or "Liked Very Much" the proposed improvements for the downtown riverfront. Public Information Question -bink the Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront February 24, 2004 help us by completing this public information questionnaire. Your thoughts and sign concepts for the Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront will help guide ti hing future funding and phasing of improvements. Your input is very important t determin 1. Of the four (4) corridor areas (Zone 1, 2, 3, or 4) which do you use the most? e pro n na Zone 1 - The Downtown Riverfront
 Zone 2 - The Parks
 Zone 3 - The West Side
 Zone 4 - The Confluence 95% of respondents thought the proposed I use all the areas within t improvements would make for a "better or I use an the areas with
 I currently don't use an 2. Overall, do you like the proposed improvement Please circle one: Very much 1 2 improved" greenway and riverfront system. ts for the The Downtown Riverfront There are several components and alternatives illustrated on **The Downtown Riverfro** us determine the components that you most favor. What would you not like to see Riverfront plan? 92% think the proposed plan illustrates an appropriate "balance" between natural and urban improvements.

4. Do you like a



Second Public Open House



"Great concepts to bring people downtown."

"I believe taking advantage of our natural features & getting people by the river is important."

"I like the station point & overlook of the river."

"Boating access, enhanced river area great."

"Yes - we need to complete our bike path."

"Great stuff!! When can we get started?"

"Get it done!!"

Committee Review 10


Committee Review 10

Committee Review

A technical review committee composed of various city and state experts and a board of advisors composed of community leaders were assembled to help give technical direction and community insight to the plan. The input of these two groups during the course of the project was invaluable.

The design team met with the two groups on several occassions to discuss a variety of issues and concerns that will affect the greenway and the community. Through these discussions, the topics ranged from land use and ownership issues down to bridge crossings and restroom locations. Water quantity and quality along with regulatory and compliance issues were also discussed. The design team contacted a special sub-consultant dealing with water engineering issues to help develop some additional ideas to address these concerns. The complete assessment from the water engineers can be found in Appendix D.





Projects, Priorities, and Partners

To achieve the goals of the City of Sioux Falls for the Big Sioux River greenway corridor, it will require the combined efforts of many people over a number of years. A variety of public and private actions will need to take place in order to implement the action plan. Policy, financial implementation strategies, and organizational structures will be critical. A phasing strategy that will allow an incremental approach to implementation will be crucial.

PARTNERSHIPS

Sioux Falls is fortunate to have a number of organizations and agencies committed to improving the quality of the Big Sioux River corridor. As the greenway master plan is implemented, many existing public and private sector groups will have the responsibility for initiating and coordinating the projects outlined. Among the entities expected to play key roles are those mentioned below:

> City of Sioux Falls Forward Sioux Falls Main Street Sioux Falls State of South Dakota - DOT, DENR, GFP Visual Arts Commission Adjacent Land Owners Adjacent Building Owners Adjacent Business Owners Major Private Partners Local Clubs and Organizations

Many of the projects outlined in this report are too large to be implemented by a single entity. The demands on the limited resources of these groups will require creative strategies with regard to partnerships to implement the project. A comprehensive approach is required that initiates new ideas, improves upon existing services, and motivates various public and private sector groups to work together for the betterment of the entire corridor. To facilitate these partnerships and to encourage their public involvement, smaller projects have been identified for primary sponsorship.

FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION MECHANISMS

There are many ways that the master plan can be achieved. The choice of mechanisms to be used must consider a combination of existing legislation, administrative framework, political realities, and funding capacities. The following are key target attainments of the master plan objectives.

> <u>Zoning</u> - Special ordinances will affect the long-term development patterns in terms of land use and design. Some changes in current code may be necessary for final implementation; i.e., setbacks and easements.

<u>Regulatory Codes</u> - Existing sign codes and vending codes need to be modified to reflect proposed improvements along the corridor.

<u>Ordinances</u> - Special ordinances that specify the desired uses and design criteria in the corridor for the purpose of protecting and enhancing the special art and cultural qualities of the area should be considered.

<u>Design Guidelines/Plan Review</u> - Mandated administrative plan review of future projects will ensure that development standards are met.

<u>Capital Improvements</u> - Much of what will be accomplished in the future will be through the direct construction of improvements by the City. Integral to the capital improvements will be the method of funding them, which in this case may include the City's capital improvements budget monies raised through local bonding authority.

<u>State/Federal Capital Grants</u> - Sioux Falls may be eligible for grants, including TEA-21 funding for certain types of projects that fall within state and federal rules.

Joint Public/Private Actions - The public and private sector can participate in joint development projects under certain circumstances

<u>Private Actions</u> - Certain portions of the plan will be achieved in the private sector primarily from the retail, business, and art communities.

<u>Bed Tax</u> - Funds from this special tax levied on hotels and motels could be increased to fund various capital improvements and programming activities.

<u>Transfer of Development Rights</u> - Allow transfer of development rights to occur provided the new structure contains an art or cultural space fronting the river.

<u>Transfer of City Properties</u> - A private developer receives a City-owned property and, in return, constructs and/or operates a business with costs equivalent to the market value of the City-owned property.

<u>Tax Abatements</u> - The abatement of real estate taxes would reduce a building's operating costs which in turn would increase the net operating income and increase the value of the property to the owner. The resultant marginal increase in value could be used to offset the cost of developing pedestrian improvements adjacent to the river.

<u>Property Assessments Credits</u> - The City could exempt development or renovation costs of spaces fronting the river from value-added property reassessments.

<u>Neighborhood Stabilization</u> - Programs should be continued to help ensure that existing downtown neighborhoods are improved.

<u>Art in Public Places</u> - A specific set-aside for public art projects should be made for all public projects and major public open spaces adjacent to the river.

<u>Promotional Activities</u> - Promotional campaigns for culture and business related to the corridor should be guided by existing or newly founded associations. The promotion of the corridor should be a joint public/private effort.

<u>Tax Increment Financing (TIF)</u> - The department of revenue distributes state sales tax collections to municipalities that have tax increment financing (TIF) districts for either state sales tax, state utility tax, or both that produced an incremental growth in retail sales, or gas and electricity consumption. Funds are prorated to each municipality based on its share of the overall TIF net state increment.

<u>TEA-21 Funding</u> - The federal transportation bill provides significant funds for developing bicycle and pedestrian projects such as bike lanes, sidewalks, and trails.

<u>Resolution</u> - As adopted by the City of Sioux Falls.

111

PHASING

It is crucial that people see progress on the master plan become a reality immediately. While many of the projects suggested require long-term implementation, there are a number of highly visible projects that can begin in the near future. Proper phasing will be critical to the success of the entire corridor. It is important to take advantage of the momentum and support coming out of the two public workshops.

DEFINING PILOT PROJECTS

The following is a recommended process for evaluating each potential project against the same criteria by assigning a rating related to development characteristics. Stakeholders can take one of the 160 projects listed within the matrix and answer the following questions. Based upon the answers, a project can be listed within a prioritized list of projects to be completed within a funding cycle.

Irreplaceable, Unique or Scarce Resource

| Low | Has no known irreplaceable, unique, or scarce resources. |
|--------|---|
| Medium | May have one or more irreplaceable, unique, or scarce resource. |
| High | Has confirmed irreplaceable, unique, or scarce resource(s). |

Natural Resource Significance

| Low | Site has no natural resource significance. |
|--------|---|
| Medium | Site has several significant natural resources. |
| High | Site has multiple unique natural resource significance. |

Recreational Resource Significance

| Low | Site has no recreational resource significance. |
|--------|--|
| Medium | Site has several significant recreational resources. |
| High | Site has multiple unique recreational resources. |

Environmental Education Significance

| Low | Site has limited or no environmental education significance. |
|--------|--|
| Medium | Site has moderate environmental education significance. |
| High | Site has multiple unique environmental education significance. |

Public Access

| Low | Not easily accessible (no paved roads or trails). |
|--------|---|
| Medium | Accessible from private roads or parking areas. |
| High | Accessible from existing roads or trails. |


| Linkage Low Medium High <u>Urgency</u> Low Medium High | Does not provide linkage to existing or planned parks or other protected areas. Provides a linkage to planned parks or other protected areas. Provides a dynamic in route experience and linkage to a unique destination, existing parks, or other protected areas. Low potential of loss of site (to development, etc). Moderate potential of loss of site. High potential of loss of site. |
|---|--|
| Low | r Governmental Opportunity/Cooperation/Compatibility Low partnership or intergovernmental opportunity/cooperation/compatibility, high potential for overwhelming participation number. |
| Medium High | Moderate partnership or intergovernmental opportunity/cooperation/com- patibility workable group size. High partnership or intergovernmental opportunity/cooperation/compatibility, comfortable and workable group - partnership sizes. |
| <u>Liabilities</u> (TI Low Medium High | he fewer the liabilities the higher the score) Has multiple liabilities (contamination, lien, hazard), long project duration. Has minor liabilities, reasonable project duration. Has no known liabilities, short project duration. |
| <u>Public Suppo</u> Low | Has no known public support, desire, high opposition, or need, few people benefited, no public awareness potential, value or appeal, no |
| Medium | ownership/stewardship potential. Has moderate public support, desire, some opposition, or need, few people benefited, moderate public awareness potential, little value and appeal, some ownership stewardship potential. |
| High | Has broad and balanced support from the community. |
| <u>Fundable Pro</u> Low | j <u>ect</u> Is not eligible for public funding or grants (Federal, State, Historic Society, etc.); poor cost sharing, inefficient budget. |
| Medium High | Meets some criteria for funding; decent cost sharing, and effective budget. Is excellent candidate for funding; excellent cost sharing, efficient budget. |

L


1:11

Project Plan Low Unclear goals, no feasibility or likelihood of success, high maintenance/management, poor plan development, low quality & quantity of available human resources; no regard to sustainable practices or clear understanding of macro and micro natural systems, no versatility, flexibility or diversity, no respect for natural carrying capacity, no economic draw/value. Medium Somewhat clear goals, some feasibility and likelihood of success, medium maintenance/management, mediocre plan development, medium quality and quantity of available human resources; some regard to sustainable practices or clear understanding of macro and micro natural systems, some versatility, flexibility or diversity, some respect for natural carrying capacity, some economic draw/value. High Clear goals, high feasibility and likelihood of success, high maintenance/management excellent plan development, high quality and quantity of available human resources; high regard to sustainable practices and clear understanding of macro and micro natural systems, high versatility, flexibility, or diversity, high respect for natural carrying capacity, high economic draw/value.

| ioux Falls Master Plan | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | |
| roject Priorities Matrix Revised 7/27/04 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | PROJECT TYPE | CONSTRUCTION COSTS | COMMUNITY BENEFITS | PROJECT PRIORITIES | PRIMARY PARTNERS | PROJECT REVIEW |
| | | | | | | jej |
| | | | | t t | B | Oom Res Res |
| | | | Ū. | tities ceme 2013 2025 2025 | issi. | C C I C C I S I I I I I I I I I I I I I |
| | | Jeti | eut ecti | nteme 2009 2013 - 2017 2021 2025 2025 | rs wn | ation lie at a stic |
| | | 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | | rtur 6 - 0 - 14 2 - 8 - 2 | y O Cor the | tec bi la |
| | | 0,000 0,000 Aee | and CC and | 2014 2014 2014 | Fall Da erty Pai | Prof E and and the second seco |
| | ate | anta nal nal 25,0 | S s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s | | ux outh uth rop ate | Fis C C C C |
| | Driv | onme nation 000 - 2 000 - 1 | 000 - 0,000 1.Sales ation/ ation/ | ent C One Two Four Five | Sio So So si | al Clubs/C al Arts C at Arts C of Sioux of Siouth s of South cof Env. L of Env. L of Env. f A by Corps c s Source s Source |
| | ve ive | 000 000 000 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1 | 00,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 | stm ron aity aity aity aity aity aity aity aity | of: varc x F nes | The second secon |
| em # SIOUX FALLS POTENTIAL PROJECTS | ubl ubl | invi invi 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, | 500 1,0 leig afe | | lity orv tate tusi tusi | Vate EN D D D I attact attact ou attact attact attact ou attact attacts ou attact ou a |
| | | <u> </u> | S S K K Z S A | | N BE S S E | |
| | | | | | | |
| *D1 Falls Park North Plan Implementation | | | | | | |
| *D2 Falls Park North Trail Connection | | | | | | |
| D3 Falls Park North - visual screen and river edge enhancement at Morrells | • • | | | | • • • • • | |
| D4 Secondary Station Marker #18.5 - North Falls Park | | | • • • • | | • • | |
| D5 Primary Station Point "0" - Falls Park (mile marker 0 and 19 | | | | | • | |
| D6 Secondary Station Marker-Mile .5 - Downtown | | | ••• | | • • | |
| *D7 Falls Park- Sculpture Garden and Park | •• | | • • • | | | |
| D8 River Drudging and Restoration 6th St. to Falls D9 "Low Flow" Channel Construction - 6th St. to the Falls | | | | | | |
| D9 "Low Flow" Channel Construction - 6th St. to the Falls D10 Westside - Trail Connection from 6th St. to the Falls | _ | | • • • • • | | • • • • • • • • • | |
| D11 Westside - Iran Connection from our St. to the Fails D11 Westside - visual screen and river edge enhancement at Sioux Stee | | | | | | |
| D12 Dowtown Station Point #1 - north of 6th St. | | | | | | |
| D12 Dowtown Station Point #1 - John of our St. | | | | | | |
| D14 Dowtown Station Point #3 - between 6th St. & 8th St. | | | | | | |
| D15 Enhance "Low Head" Rock Dam near 6th St. | | | | | • • • | |
| D16 Small Amphitheater adjacent to College on north side | • • | | | | • • • • | |
| D17 Public Plaza adjacent to College on Eastside | | | | | | |
| D18 Trail Access Ramp to 6th St. (both sides) | - | | | | | |
| D19 6th St. Bridge Enhancement | | | | | | |
| D20 Dowtown Station Point #4 - between 6th St. & 8th St. | | | | | • • • • | |
| D21 Dowtown Station Point #5 - between 6th St. & 8th St. | | | • • • • • | | • • • • | |
| D22 Dowtown Station Point #6 - between 6th St. & 8th St. | | | | | • • • • | |
| D23 Westside "Gateway Plaza" with Obelisk | | | • • • • • | | | |
| D24 Westside - continuous upper walkway - between 6th & 8th St. D25 Westside safe access points (two) between 6th & 8th St. | | | • • • • • • | • • • | • | |
| D25 weststue sale access points (two) between on a sin St. D26 Pedestrian Bridge between 6th & 8th Street | | | | | | |
| D27 Convert RR Xing to Pedestrian Bridge | | | | | | |
| D28 East side safe access points (two) between 6th & 8th St. | | | | | | |
| D29 East side continuous upper walkway - between 6th & 8th St. | | | | | | |
| D30 River Edge Enhancement between 6th & 8th St. | | | | | | |
| D31 8th St. Bridge Enhancement | | | | | $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ | |
| D32 Trail Access Ramp to 8th St. (both sides) | | | | | • • | |
| D33 Dowtown Station Point #7 - between 8th St. & 10th St. | | | | | • • • • | |
| D34 Dowtown Station Point #8 - between 8th St. & 10th St. | | | • • • • • | | • • • • | |
| D35 Dowtown Station Point #9 - between 8th St. & 10th St. | | | | | • • • • | |
| D36 Dowtown Station Point #10 - between 8th St. & 10th St. | | | • • • • • | | | |
| *D37 "River Ramp" Parking Structure Removal (at 9th St.) D38 West side continuous upper walkway - between 8th & 10th St. | | | • • • • • • • | • • • | • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| D38 West side continuous upper waikway - between 8th & 10th St. D39 West side terraced wall and park | | | | | | |
| D40 West side Gateway and drop-off area | | | | | | |
| D41 East side - enhance existing lower trail between 8th and 10th St. | • • • | | | | | |
| D42 East side continuous upper walkway - between 8th & 10th St. | | | | | | |
| D43 East side Gateway and drop-off area | • • • • | | | | • • • • • | |
| D44 East side safe access points (two) between 8th & 10th St. | | | | | • • • • • | |
| D45 River Edge Enhancement between 8th & 10th St. | | | | | • • • | |
| D46 Existing pedestrian bridge enhancement | • | • • | • • • • | | | |
| D47 Trail Access Ramp to 10th St. (both sides) | | | | | | |
| D48 10th St. Bridge Enhancement | • | | | | • • • • • | |
| Amphitheater at Fawick Park | •• | | • • • • • | | | |
| D50 Pedestrian Bridge at Fawick Park | • • • • | | ••• | | | |
| D51 Edge tree enhancement at Fawick Park D52 West side continuous trail at Fawick Park | | | • • • • | | | |
| D52 West side continuous trail at Fawick Park D53 East side trail addition at Fawick Park | | | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • | |
| D53 East side trail addition at Fawlek Park D54 Primary Station Point-Mile #1 - East Side | | | | | | |
| D54 Primary Station Point-Mile #1 - East Side D55 Enhance Low-Head Dam between 10th and 11th St. | | | | | | |
| D55 Enhance Low-riead Dam between four and frui St. | | | | | | |
| D57 River edge and vegetation enhancement to 14th Street | | | | | | |
| D58 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #1.5 - Beadle Greenway | | | | | | |
| D59 Trail Connection to Proposed Rec. Center at Nelson Park | | | | | | |
| ······································ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



Zone #1 - Enlargement



| Sioux Falls Master Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Project Priorities Matrix Revised 7/27/04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROJ | ECT T | YPE | | | | CONS | TRUC | TION C | OSTS | | | COMM | IUNITY | ' BENE | FITS | | | | PROJE | ECT PF | NORIT | TES | | PRIMA | ARY PA | RTNER | S | |
| Item # SIOUX FALLS POTENTIAL PROJECTS | Active | Passive | Public/Private | Recreational | Environmental | Informational / Aesthetic | \$10,000 - 25,000 | \$25,000 - 100,000 | \$100,000 - 250,000 | \$250,000 - 500,000 | \$\$00,000 - 1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 + | Retail Sales | Recreation/Service | Neighborhood Connection | Safety | Aesthetic Enhancement | Investment Opportunities | Environmental Enhancement | Priority One 2006 - 2009 | Priority Two 2010 - 2013 | Priority Three 2014 - 2017 | Priority Four 2018 - 2021 | Priority Five 2022 - 2025 | City of Sioux Falls | Forward Sioux Falls | State of South Dakota | Sioux Falls Arts Commission | Business/Property Owners |


| Sioux Falls Master Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|---------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Project Priorities Matrix Revised 7/27/04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ++ | | _ | + | + + | | | |
| | PPOI | ECT TY | ÞF | | | CON | STRUCT | | STS | | CO | MMUN | ITV DE | NEFITS | | | PPOI | FCT PD | RIORITIES | 1 | PRIMAR | VDADT | NEDS | | | | | | PPOF | ECT REVI | FW | | + | | | |
| | I KOJ | | E | | | CON | SIRUCI | IONCO | 515 | | 0 | WINDIN | III DE | NEFT15 | <u>'</u> | | IKUJ | LCIIN | NORTHES | - | I KIWAK | TTAKI | NERS | | | | | | I KOJI | AT KEVI | 511 | 2 | + | | | _ |
| Item # SIOUX FALLS POTENTIAL PROJECTS | Active | Passive | Public/Private | Recreational | Environmental Trei | \$10,000 - 25,000 | \$25,000 - 100,000 | \$100,000 - 250,000 | \$250,000 - 500,000 | \$500,000 - 1,000,000 | S1,000,000 + Petail Sales | Recreation/Service | Neighborhood Connection | Safety | Aesthetic Enhancement | Investment Opportunities Environmental Enhancement | Priority One 2006 - 2009 | Priority Two 2010 - 2013 | Priority Three 2014 - 2017 Priority Four 2018 - 2021 | Priority Five 2022 - 2025 | City of Sioux Falls | Forward Sioux Falls State of South Dakota | Sioux Falls Arts Commission | Business/Property Owners | Major Private Partners | Local Clubs/Organizations | Visual Arts Council | Youth Participation | City of Sioux Falls | reet Sioux Fall | State of South Dakota - ENK SD Dept. of Transportation | Dept. of Env. and Natural Resou | SD Game, Fish and Parks | FEMA | Army Corps of Engineers | Water Source Protection Council |
| ZONE #2 - The Parks | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P1 Primary Station Point-Mile #2 - Cherry Rock Park | | | | • | • • | | | 1 | | | | • | | | | | | | | | • | | | _ | | | • | | | | | - | | • | • | _ |
| P2 Enhanced Natural Area near Cherry Rock Park | | • | | | • | | - | | • | | | | | | • | | | • | 1 1 | | • | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • | • | | | • • | • |
| P3 Enhance Low-Head Dam near Rotary Park | | • | | • | | | • | | - | | | • | | | • | | | | • | | • | ě | | • | | | | | ė | | | | | | • | |
| P4 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #2.5 - Riverdale Park | • | - i | | • | | • | - | | | | | | • | • | • | ē | | • | - | | • | | • | | | • | • | • | ė | | + | Ť | + | | • | |
| P5 Primary Station Point-Mile # 3 - Rotary Park | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | ě | | | | • | - | 1 1 | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | + | + | + | • | | -+ |
| P6 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #3.5 - Pasley Park | | • | | • | | • | | | | | | | • | | • | | - | • | 1 1 | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | + | ++ | • | | - |
| P7 Natural Area/Park with Shelter at South End of Pasley Park | - | | • | | | | | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | - | 1 1 | | • | • | • | | • | • | | | • | | • | + | | • | - | |
| P8 River Drudging and Restoration near YMCA Day Camp for Boating | | • | | • | | | | • | | | | • | | | | | • | | | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | ((| | • | • | • | • | • |
| P9 "Low Flow" Channel Construction for boating near YMCA Day Camp | | • | | • | • | | | | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | | • | • • | | • | | | | | • | <u> </u> | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| P10 Boating access points (2 on each side of river) near YMCA Camr. | • | • | • | • | • | | | • | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | | • | • | ((| • | | • | • | • | • |
| P11 Boating Drop-off Location on West Side of river below YMCA Camp | • | • | • | • | • | | • | | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • | | 1 | • | • | • |
| P12 Enhanced "Low-Head" Rock Dam near Pedestrian Bridge at YMCA Camp | | • | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | ((| • | • | • | • | • | • |
| P13 Enhanced Wetland Area with Boardwalk on West Side of River | | • | | | • | | | | • | | | | | • | • | | | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | | • | • | ((| | | | | • | • |
| P14 YMCA Events Meadow on West Side of the River | • | • | • | | | | | • | | | | • | • | | • | • | | | • | | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | | |
| P15 Primary Station Point-Mile #4 - Tuthill Park | • | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | • | | | | | ٠ | • | _ |
| P16 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #4.5 - Spencer Park | | | | • | | • | | | | | | | • | | • | Ĩ | | ě | | | • | | ě | | | ě | | | ė | | _ | | ++ | ě | | |
| P17 Primary Station Point-Mile #5 - Spencer Park | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | | • | | • | | | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | _ | | | • | | _ |
| P18 Enhanced Natural Area with Pines near Spencer Park | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | | | | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | | • | • | • | • | ((| • | • | • | | (| • |
| P19 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #5.5 - near Tomar Park | • | • | | • | | • | | - | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | _ | | <u> </u> | • | • | |
| P20 Primary Station Point-MIle #6 - Yankton Trail Park | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | | • | | | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | | + | • | | |
| P21 Secondary Station Marker-MIIe #6.5 - Yankton Trail Park | | • | | • | | • | | | | | | • | | | • | | | - | • | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | | | + | • | • | |
| P22 Primary Station Point-Mile #7 - near I -229 & Sertoma Park | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | | | • | • | _ |
| P23 Enhanced Natural Area with Shelter at Sertoma Park | | | • | | | | - | • | | | | • | | - | • | | | | | • | • | | • | | • | • | | | • | | | | • | • | | _ |
| P24 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #7.5 - near Sertoma Park | • | • | | | | • | | - | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | | | • | • | _ |
| P25 Primary Station Point-Mile #8 - near Sertoma Park | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | + | + | • | | |
| P26 River edge and vegetation enhancement 14th St. to 18th St. | | • | | | • | | - | | • | | | | - | | • | | | - | • | | • | • | | • | | • | - | | • | | • | - | • | | • | • |
| P27 River edge and vegetation enhancement 18th St. to 26th St. | | • | | | • | | | | • | | | | | | • | Ĩ | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | | • | |
| P28 River edge and vegetation enhancement 26th St. to 33rd St. | | - i | | | • | | | | ě | | | | | | • | ě | | | | | • | ē | | • | | • | | | ė | | | | | | • | |
| P29 River edge and vegetation enhancement 33rd St. to Cliff Avenue | | • | | | • | | | | ě | | | | | | • | | | | • | | • | Ĭ | | • | | • | | • | | | | | | | • | |
| P30 New Low Head Rock Dam near Cliff Avenue in this area | | - i | | | • | | • | | - | | | • | | | • | ē | | • | | | • | ē | | • | | | | | ė | | | | | | • | |
| P31 River edge and vegetation enhancement Cliff Ave. to Minnesota Ave | | • | | | • | | - | | • | | | | | • | • | Ē | | - | • | | • | • | | • | | • | | • | • | - | | | | | • | |
| P32 River edge and vegetation enhancement Minnesota Ave. to I-229 underpass | | • | | | • | | 1 | | • | | | | | | • | Ē | | + - | - i | | • | ē | | • | | • | | | ě | | | | | | • | |
| P33 Natural Area near Ox Bow | | | | | • | | | | • | | | | | | • | | | | - i | | • | | | • | | • | | | ė | | | | | | • | |
| P34 River edge and vegetation enhancement I-229 underpass to 41st Ave | | | | | • | | | | • | | | | | | • | | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | |
| 151 Parte dege and regelation onnancement (22) and pass to fist rive | | | | | - | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | - | | - | | | | | <u> </u> | +- | ++ | - | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | ++ | | | |
| | | + + | | | | | + | | | | | _ | | - | | | | + | + + | | | | | | - | | | | ' | <u>⊢</u> +− | +- | + | ++ | | | -+ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Zone #2 - Projects



| Sioux Falls Master Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------------|----------|-----|------|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|----------|--------|------------|------|--------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|--------------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|--------|----------|-----|-----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Project Priorities Matrix Revised 7/27/04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROJE | СТ ТҮРЕ | | | C | ONSTRUCT | ION CO | STS | | CON | MMUNIT | TY BEN | EFITS | | | PROJE | CT PRI | ORITIES | | PRIMARY | PARTN | ERS | | | | | | PROJEC | CT REVIE | W | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | urc | | | il |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ÷ | | | | | | | = | | | | | | | ~ | | esc | | | ŭ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Ę | | | uen | | ~ | 1 17 | 6 | | | sio | s | | s | | | | ENR | uc | IR | ~ | | "° |
| | | | | | tic | | | | | | | ctio | | Ħ | ties | <u> </u> | 01 | - 2017 2021 | 025 | | | nis | nei | | tio | s | | | - E | ati | ura | ark | | on |
| | | | | | sthe | | ~ | ~ | 8 | | | nee | | neı | iur un | - 5 | - 2 | | - 2 | s | ota | E C | Ň | iers | 1 IZa | Fall | _ | | Fall | ort | Vat | I Pa | | scti |
| | | | | | Aes | 8 8 | 000 | 00 | 0,0 | | ice | Con | | leol | Sub | 90 | 2010 | 2014 - 2 | 52 | alls Fall | Jak | Ŭ | ty | artr | gan | | tion | alls | ux] | lsu | L pr | and | | Eng |
| | | o | | al | 1 | 25,000 100,000 | 50, | 500, | 8 | | erv | 0 p | | har | al I | 20 | 5(| se 2 r 2(| 20 | L Fa | Ч | vrts | per | e b | 5, j | Sio | ipai | F. | h L | Tra | ar | sh | 3 | e P |
| | | vat | ıal | ent | ona | 25,0 | 2 | - 5 | - + | sa | 3/S | 100 | | En | ent C | ne | ow | | ve | cuo lioi | out | ls ∕ | Pro | vato. | bs/ | er g | tic | śno | et 5 | of | N | Ξ | | ps |
| | | Pi | tion | uu | atic | | 8 | 8 | - 00 | Sal | tion | orl | | tic | nm | Q | É | / Thi | Ē | Si. | fS | Fall | ss/J | -i Li | Ar Ar | tte | Par | Si | f S. | pt. | fΕ | me | c c | Corps |
| | ive. | sive lic/ | rea | iro | E E | 000 | 0 | 0,0 | 0,00 | ii ii | rea | ghb | aty | the | iro | rity | LT. | ti ti | -ti | r of | e o | [XI | ine | or . | al al | u S | th | of | e o | De | t. c | Ga | A I | er l |
| Item # SIOUX FALLS POTENTIAL PROJECTS | Acti | ass | çec | Snv | nfo | 25 | 10 | 25 | 50 | (set | cec | Vei | afe | Aes | inve | rio | rio | rio Tio | rio | or for | stat | ior | Bus | 4aj | .oc | Jai | no, | Sity | Aai | Đ. | Dep | <u>A</u> | E | Vat |
| | ~ | 4 4 | <u> </u> | | - | <u>s</u> | 89 | \$9 | 69 64 | | <u> </u> | 4 | <i>S</i> 2 | ~ | дш | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | D F | ×. | S) | щ | ~ , | | - 4 | ~ | | 2 0 | S I | | Ø | <u> </u> | < > |
| ZONE #3 - The Westside | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W1 Secondary Station Marker-MIIe #8.5 - near Louise Ave. Commercial Area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | • • | | • | | | | | | • • | |
| W1 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #8.5 - hear Louise Ave. Commercial Area W2 Pedestrian Bridge near Station Marker #8.5 | • | | • | | - | | • | | | • | | • | • | | | | • | | | • • | | | • | • | | • | - | • | • | _ | | | • | |
| W2 Pedestrian Bridge near Station Marker #8.5 W3 Westside Commercial Riverfront Area - near Louise Ave. | • | | • | | • | | - | | • | | | • | | | • • | | - | • | | | • | | | | | | | • | | | | | | • • |
| W W Westside Commercial Riverriont Area - near Louise Ave. W4 River edge and vegetation enhancement 41st Ave. Skunk Creek Convergence | - | • • | - | • | • | | | • | - | • | - | - | • | | • • | \vdash | | • | | • • | • | | • | | | • | • | - | • | | | | | • • |
| *W5 "Low Head" Inflatable Dam just north of the Skunk Creek Convergence | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | | - | • | • | | | | | • | • | | • | | - | | - | • | | | | | • | |
| W6 Primary Station Point-Mile #9 - near Skunk Creek Convergence | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | - | • | - | _ | • • | | • | | • | - | | | • | |
| W7 River edge and vegetation enhancement Skunk Creek Convergence to 12th St. | | • | - | • | - | • | | • | | _ | - | - | | • | • | - | | • | | • | • | | • | | | , | | • | • | _ | | | | • • |
| W8 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #9.5 - Sherman Park | • | | | • | | | | • | | _ | - | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | _ | • | - | | | | | • | - | | — | | | • • |
| W9 Primary Station Point-Mile #10 - Sherman Park Zoc | • | | | • | | • | | | | _ | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | | | | • | | | ++ | | • | |
| W10 Restroom near Station Point #10 | | • | | • | - | | | | • | | • | | • | • | | | • | • | | • | • | | | | • | · | - | • | • | - | • | | | • • |
| W10 Resubbit hear Station Forth #10 W11 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #10.5 - near Fairgrounds | • | | | • | • | • | | - | • | | | | • | • | • | | - | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | • | | • | - | | | • | |
| W12 Pedestrian Bridge near Station Point #11 | • | | • | | - | • | • | - | | | | | • | | | | | - | • | | • | | • | • | | | - | • | • | - | | • | | • |
| W12 Recession of the station round w11 W13 River edge and vegetation enhancement 12th St. to Madison St. | | • | - | • | | | - | • | | • | | - | • | | • | | | • | • | • | • | - | • | - | • | | | • | • | | ě | | • | - |
| W14 Primary Station Point-Mile #11 - near Malison St | • | | • | • | • | • | | - | | | • | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | - | | • • | , | | • | - | - | <u> </u> | - | • | |
| W15 Secondary Station Marker-MIIe #11.5 - Elmwood Park | • | | | • | | | | | | | | • | | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | ++ | | • | |
| W16 Primary Station Point-Mile #12 - Elmwood Golf Course | • | | | • | • | • | | | | | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | • | | | | ++ | | | • |
| W17 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #12.5 - near Elmwood Golf Course | • | | | • | | | | | | | | • | • | - | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • | | | • | | | + | | • | - |
| *W18 West Trail Connection to USDSU | • | | | • | • | • | | | | | - Č | | • | | • | | • | - | | • • | • | | • | • | | • | | • | • | | • | | | • • |
| W19 Westside Interpretive Art and Nature Walk | • | | • | • | | | • | | | | | • | | ě | • | | | | • | • | • | | | | • • | | • | ě | ě | | | | • | |
| W20 Primary Station Point-Mile #13 - west of Airport | • | | | • | | • | - | | | | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | ++ | | | • |
| W21 River edge and vegetation enhancement Madison St. to Big Sioux Diversion | | • | | • | | | | • | | | | | | • | • | | | • | | • | • | | • | | • | | | • | • | | | | | • • |
| W22 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #13.5 - west of Air Port | • | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • |) | | • | | | | | | • |
| W23 Primary Station Point-Mile #14 - west of Airport | • | | | • | | • | | | | | | • | | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | ě | | | | | • | |
| W24 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #14.5 - near Diversion Structure | • | | | • | | | 1 | | | | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • | |
| W25 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #1 | • | | | • | | | 1 | | | | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • | |
| W26 Restroom near Station Marker SC#1 | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | | • | | | | • | | | • | • | | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | • • |
| W27 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #1.5 | • | • | | • | • | • | 1 | | | | • | • | | • | • | 1 | | • | | • | | • | | | • • |) | • | • | | | | | • • | • |
| W28 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #2 | • | • | | • | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • |) | • | • | | | | | • | • |
| W29 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #2.5 | • | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | | • | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • |) | | • | | | | | • | • |
| W30 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #3 | • | | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • • | • |
| W31 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #3.5 | • | | • | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | | | • | | • | | • | | | • • |) | | • | | | | | • • | • |
| W32 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #4 | • | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • • | • |
| W33 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #4.5 | • | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | | | | • | | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • • | |
| W34 Skunk Creek - Secondary Station Marker #5 | • | | | | • | • | | | | | • | • | | | • | | | • | | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | | | | • • | |
| W35 River edge and vegetation enhancement on Skunk Creek Reach | | • | | | | | | • | | | | | | • | | | | | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • | • | | | | | • • |
| *W36 West Lake Park | | • • | • | | • | | | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | | • | • | | | • | • • | | | • | • | | | • | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \square | | | |


Zone #3 - Projects



SIOUX FALLS Greenway & Riverfront Master Plan Summer 2004

| ioux Falls Master Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------|--------------|---------|--|------------|---------|---------|------------|------------|--------------|-------|------|------|--------|------|------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|---------|------|------|
| oject Priorities Matrix Revised 7/27/04 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | 1 |
| | PROJEC | Г ТҮРЕ | | | CONSTRUC | TION C | OSTS | | COMMU | JNITY BE | ENEFITS | | | PROJECT | PRIORI | ITIES | PRIMAR | Y PARTN | NERS | | | | | ++ | PROJEC ⁷ | F REVIEW | <u> </u> | | | | + |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | 1 | | | | | | <u>- </u> | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | | | | | | | IL | | | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | sou | | | inci |
| | | | | | | | | | | = | | | lent | | | | | | sior | po . | | s | | | | ENR | E | I.Ke | | | Cot |
| | | | | tic | | | | | | ctio | | Ħ | ties | 2009 | 2017 | 2021 | | | nis | ner | | | s | | | s i | atic | ura | i i | sers | E |
| | | | | sthe | | _ | 000 | | | ne | | mei | un un | | | | | ls ota | illio | ő | ner | il uza | Fal | _ | 1 7 | Pai ota | DIOC | Nat d P. | 4 | .ñ. | scti |
| | | | | Ae | 0 00 | 000 | õ õ | | | /ice | | nce | Enl | 006 | 2010 | 2018 | alls | Fal Dak | Č. | tt | artı | gan | Xn | tion | alls | Dak | lsur | [pu | di la | En | rote |
| | | ę | _ | al / | 000,000 | 50 | 500, | + | | Serv od (| | hai | Upp tal | 5 | ee 7 | r 2 | хF | th I | Art | ope | € P | 5 Õ | Sio | cipa | X F | th I | Tra | /. au | 4 | of | e P |
| | | iva | nal | nen | - 25 | - 2 | | - 000 | les | S/uc | | E | nt (| One | Three | I. OI | iou | Sio | IIs | /Prc | ivat | rts (| eet | rtic | iou , | sou | of | Env | + 10 | arps | ILC |
| | 0 | e /Pr | atic | nuc | - 000 | 000 | 000 | | Sa | atic | | etic | nne | | - L | y F y F | fs. | of S | Fal | ess | H d | E ⊂ | Stre | Pa | of S | of S | ept. | of | - ar | S | So |
| | tive | ssiv blic | cre | virc òrn | 5,00 | 00,0 | 50,0 | 000 | tail | cre | fety | sth | vire | orit | orit or | onit | ο <u>λ</u> | rwa ite e | xno | sin | ajor | cal | in | uth | Å ! | ate o | Õ | Dt Dt | 2 N | my | ater |
| m # SIOUX FALLS POTENTIAL PROJECTS | Ac | Pa | Re | En Inf | \$1 \$2: | \$1¢ | \$2: \$5 | \$ 1 | Re | Re Ne | Sa | Ae | En | Pri | F | Pri | - Cit | Fo | Sic | Bu | L M | Vii Lo | Ŵ | Yo | Ū Ū | Sta | SD | Pe De | H H | Ar | N.S |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NE #4 - The Confluence | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 Primary Station Point-Mile #15 - near Diversion Structure | • | • | • | • • | • | | | | | • • | • | • | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • |) | • | | | | | | • | |
| C2 Restroom near Station Point 15 and Diversion Structure | | • | • | • | | | • | | | • | • | | | | • | | • | • | | | • • | | | | • | • | | • | | • | |
| C3 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #15.5 - east of Airport | | • | | • • | | | | | | • • | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | • |) | | • | | | | | • | |
| 24 River edge and vegetation enhancement Big Sioux Diversion to Minnesota Ave | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | | • | | | • | • | • | | • | • | | | | • | • | | • | | | |
| C5 Extend Trail to Old City Nursery Site | • | | | • • | • | | | | | • | | | • | | | | | • • | - | - | • | | | | • | • | | • • | | - | - |
| C6 Pedestrian Bridge at City Nursery Site | | • • | | | | ٠ | | | | • | | | | | • | | | • • | | | • | • | | | • | • | | • | | • | |
| C7 Outdoor Education Area at City Nursery Site | | • • | - | | | | • | | | • • | | • | • | | | | • | • | - | | - | | | | • | • | | • • | | | |
| C8 Natural Area with Shelter and Restroom at City Nursery Site | | | • | | | | • | | | • • | | • | • | | | | • | • | | | • • | |) | • | | • | | • • | | | |
| C9 Fishery Site South of 60th and City Nursery Site | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | • | • | | | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | • | | • • | | | |
| C10 Primary Station Point-Mile #16 - east of Airport | • | - | • | | | | | | | • • | | | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • | | • | | | + | | - | • | |
| C11 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #16.5 - east of Airport | • | - | - | • • | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | _ | • | • | | • | | | • |) | • | | <u> </u> | +-+ | | | • | |
| C12 River edge and vegetation enhancement Minnesota Ave. to the Spillway Area C13 Primary Station Point-Mile #17 - top of spillway | | • | | • | | | • | | | | | • | • | | • | | • | • | | • | | | | • | | • | | • • | | | |
| C13 Primary Station Point-Mile #17 - top of spillway C14 Secondary Station Marker-Mile #17.5 - bottom of spillway | • | - | • | | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | • | | • | | • | | | | | • | | + | +-+ | | • | • | |
| | - | • | • | • • | • | | • | | | • • | | | • | | | • | • | _ | - | • | | | , | | • | • | | • • | - | - | |
| C15 River edge and vegetation enhancement to Great Bear Park and North Falls Park C16 Primary Station Point-Mile #18 - near Morrells | • | | • | | • | | • | | | • • | | | | | • | | • | • | • | - | | | | • | | | +-+ | | | • | |
| C17 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #1 | • | | | ••• | | - | | | | • • | | | | | - | • | • | | | | | | | • | | | + | | | • | |
| C18 Pedestrian Bridge near Lien Park | • | | | • • | | • | | | | • • | | | - | | | • | - | • • | - | | • | | | - | | • | ++ | • • | | • | |
| C19 Low-Head Dam for fishing near Station Marker GB#1 | | | - | • | | - | • | | - | • • | | • | • | | | | • | • | | ÷ | | | | • | | | | • • | | - | |
| C20 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #1.5 | • | - | • | | • | | - | | | • • | | • | • | | - | • | • | | • | - | | | , | • | | — | +-+ | | | | |
| C21 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #2 | • | - | | • • | | | | | | • • | - | - | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | | i i i | | + | | - | • | |
| C22 Great Bear - Secondary Station Market #2.5 | • | | • | | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | | • | | | | • | | |
| C23 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #3 | • | • | | • • | • | | | | | • • | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | | _ | | | | • | - |
| 224 Pedestrian Bridge near Station Marker GB#3 | • | | | | | • | | | | • • | | • | | | | • | | • • | - | | • | • | | | • | • | | • | ė | - | + |
| C25 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #3.5 | • | | • | • • | • | - | | | | • • | | | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | | • | <u> </u> | + | | | ě | 1 |
| C26 Great Bear - Secondary Station Marker #4 | • | • | | | • | T | | | | • • | | • | • | | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | | - | | | • | | 1 |
| C27 Pedestrian Bridge at Fishery Site | • | • • | • | | | • | | | • | • • | • | • | | | • | | • | • • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | | • | • | • | 1 |
| | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |

Zone #4 - Projects



Next Steps

Next Steps 12

Next Steps

Adoption

• The Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront Master Plan adopted by City Council.

Planning and Design Guidelines

- Prepare detailed Greenway and Riverfront Planning and Design Guidelines for private and public improvements for the entire 26-mile corridor.
- Prepare greenway and riverfront site design, landscape architectural, architectural, engineering, wildlife, riparian, ecological, and recreational standards and criterion.
- Prepare and amend City zoning and riverfront planning, density, height, bulk and public safety standards for the greenway and riverfront.
- Prepare planning and design review and approval standards and criterion for future greenway and riverfront projects.
- Prepare greenway and riverfront planning and design goals, objectives, and policies for future improvements.
- Determine greenway and riverfront compliance and regulatory standards for ADA, water quality, flood, access, crossings, flow, and other statutory requirements.

Technical Review Committee

- Using the Sioux Falls Greenway and Riverfront Master Plan and Greenway and Riverfront Planning and Design Guidelines, organize a technical design review committee.
- Determine a comprehensive committee of technical expertise from all appropriate public, private and nonprofit agencies and organizations.
- Establish consistent public information and outreach for preliminary and final Greenway and Riverfront projects.
- Review all proposed greenway and riverfront projects for compliance with City, Regional, State, and Federal requirements.
- Archive all approved greenway and riverfront improvement projects and revise and update applicable standards as required.
- Implement a greenway and riverfront monitoring and measurement program that gauges public use, water quality, wildlife enhancement, and investment along the Big Sioux River.

Funding and Finance Committee

- Prepare a five (5) year greenway and riverfront capital improvements program.
- Prepare a comprehensive funding and finance campaign for greenway and riverfront projects using public, private and nonprofit sources.
- Prepare a project selection process that encourages broad and multiple financial support, volunteerism, and donation for all greenway and riverfront projects.
- Promote and seek appropriate riverfront development that generates local revenue and job creation.
- Establish financial and development incentives for riverside development that supports and advances the goals and objectives of the City and the greenway.

SIOUX FALLS

Greenway & Riverfront Master Plan

SUMMER 2004



Planning Landscape Architecture Urban Design 1475 Lawrence Street, Suite 100 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 623-3465 (303) 623-3758 Facsimile

